RESEARCH PROJECTS (IP-06-2016) 1st Round Evaluation Criteria

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR	YES	NO
 Principal Investigator is very active in the last five years which is evident from: 		
several publications in leading international journals in the research area in which he is the lead or corresponding author, in the first quartile of the journals in the observed scientific field according to the relevant scientific databases.		
If the Principal Investigator is from the area of humanities and social sciences, he has books published, papers in peer-reviewed books or A1 papers.		
 Principal Investigator is a prominent scientist with internationally recognised achievements in science and/or technology in the area of the submitted project proposal, which is evident from:¹ 		
 invited lectures and seminars at prestigious foreign institutions and/or invited/intro/plenary lectures at international conferences and invited lectures at advanced schools 		
 international conferences, congresses or symposia, where the Principal Investigator was the organiser or a member of the coordination /management or organising committee 		
 international acknowledgements such as scientific awards or memberships in recognized scientific and professional associations 		
 participation in international research projects (EU Framework Programmes, Horizon 2020, NIH, ERA and similar projects) 		
 peer reviewing articles in prestigious international scientific journals in the observed scientific area 		
 membership in editorial boards of international scientific journals in the observed scientific area 		
extended stays and training at prestigious scientific institutions abroad		
 proven research results applications in social and/or economic sector and registered international patents. 		
Principal Investigator has significant mentoring experience which is demonstrated through:		
 papers published with graduate/doctoral students 		
successful mentorship of doctoral students		
excellent achievements of doctoral students he has mentored.		

PROJECT PROPOSAL	YES	NO
1. Project proposal deals with internationally and/or nationally relevant issues (substantially present in the best journals in the area, conference		
proceedings or books).		

 $^{^{\}rm 1}\,{\rm At}$ least 5 criteria should be positive for the answer to be YES.

RESEARCH PROJECTS (IP-06-2016) 1st Round Evaluation Criteria

,		······································	
2.	The project proposal has the potential to significantly improve the existing knowledge in the scientific area (it is competitive compared to the best ones in the area).		
3.	Research questions, objectives, hypotheses and work plan are clear, reasoned and detailed.		
4.	Proposed methodology is appropriate for the proposed research.		
5.	Research plan is realistic considering proposed resources and scientific potential of the Principal Investigator and team members.		
6.	Project proposal is a scientific research that has not been previously implemented or funded from other sources and has a unique contribution to the research area.		
7.	Does the Principal Investigator have support of the institution and conditions for conducting the proposed research? Does the institution provide necessary infrastructure and other conditions for project proposal implementation (space, equipment, organisational and technical support)?		
-	A- of satisfactory quality and can enter the second round of evaluation (p B- of good but not sufficient quality to enter the second round of evaluati other project proposals it is not among the best ones, and with regard program it is not included in the competition in this call for proposals) C- of insufficient quality to enter the second round of evaluation. Prince also be subjected to resubmission restrictions to future calls for propo	on (in relation I to the budge .ipal Investiga	of the
sci	the proposal is referred to peer review please explain your recomientific publications from the project proposal that qualify the caseir impact factor (IF).		
ı			